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Abstract

When a hockey game is being played, its data comes continuously. Therefore, it is possible to use the stream mining method to estimate the win probability (WP) of a team once the game begins. Based on 8 seasons’ data of NHL from 2003-2014, we provide three methods to estimate the win probability in a hockey game. Win probability calculation method based on statistics is the first model, which is built based on the summary of the historical data. Win probability calculation method based on data mining classification technique is the second model. In this model, we implemented some data classification algorithms on our data and compared the results, then chose the best algorithm to build the win probability model. Naive Bayes, SVM, VFDT, and Random Tree data classification methods have been compared in this thesis on the hockey dataset. We used stream mining technique in our last model, which is a real time prediction model, which can be interpreted as a training-update-training model. Every 20 events in a hockey game are split as a window. We use the last window as the training data set to get decision tree rules used for classifying the current window. Then a parameter can be calculated by the rules trained by these two windows. This parameter can tell us which rule is better than another to train the next window. In our models the variables time, leadsize, number of shots, number of misses, number of penalties are combined to calculate the win probability. Our WP estimates can
provide useful evaluations of plays, prediction of game result and in some cases, guidance for coach decisions.
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## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WP</td>
<td>Win Probability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS</td>
<td>WP Algorithm based on Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>Support Vector Machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFDT</td>
<td>Very Fast Decision Tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPWL</td>
<td>WP Calculation Method based on Win/Lose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPL</td>
<td>WP Calculation Method based on Level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Introduction

Win probability is an indicator that suggests a sports team's chances of winning at any given point in a game. Win probability is widely used in hockey, baseball, football, and basketball games to evaluate the performance of a particular team's performance [1][2][3][4][5]. Win probability estimates in American football often include variables such as whether a team is home or visitor, the down and distance, score difference, time remaining, and field position. Win probability estimates in baseball often include whether a team is home or visitor, innings, number of outs, which bases are occupied, and the score difference. Because baseball proceeds batter by batter, each new batter introduces a discrete state. There are a limited number of possible states, and so baseball win probability tools usually have enough data to make an informed estimate [6]. However, decisive variables for the hockey win probability are limited. In all our models, whether a team is home or visitor, time, leadsize, number of shots, number of goal misses, and number of penalties are extracted as variables.
In this thesis, three methodologies are proposed to estimate the win probability in a hockey game. First one is based on the statistics measure of the history data. Second one is based on the data classification algorithms. Stream mining technique is used in the last scheme. These methodologies can also be used in other major sport games.

### 1.1 National Hockey League

The **National Hockey League** (NHL) is a professional ice hockey league composed of 30 member clubs: 23 in the United States and 7 in Canada. Headquartered in New York City, the NHL is considered to be the premier professional ice hockey league in the world, and one of the major professional sports leagues in the United States and Canada. The **Stanley Cup**, the oldest professional sports trophy in North America, is awarded annually to the league playoff champion at the end of each season [7].

Since the 1995-1996 season, each team in the NHL plays 82 regular season games, 41 each as home and visitor. In all, 1,230 regular games are scheduled each season [7]. After the regular games every year, the 16 teams with the best performances play the playoff games.

Before the 2013-2014 seasons, the 30 teams were divided into two 15-team conferences, each of which was subdivided into three five-team divisions. The top eight teams from each conference advanced to the playoffs. In the playoffs, pairs of teams play a series games up to a maximum of seven games. The first team to win four games advances to the next round of the playoffs. The top team from each Conference plays in the final
series, and the winning team is awarded the Stanley Cup. Since the 2013-2014 season, the two conferences have been realigned with 16 and 14 teams and two divisions each.

In one NHL game, there are 3 regular periods with 20 minutes in each period. If it is a tie at the end of third period, one 5-minute period of 3 on 3 is added. Then if it still is a tie, the game moves to shootout. For the reason that one team’s condition in the playoff games and in the overtime are different from its condition in the regular games in the regular time, in this thesis, we only use the NHL regular games’ data as the experiment data (and in which the game finished during the regular period).

1.2 Hockey Game

There are three periods in a hockey game. Every period is 20 minutes with an intermission between each period. When the last period ends, the team with the higher score wins the game. If it is a tie at the end of the third period, there is an extra period. In this thesis, all the data we analyzed excluded the extra periods. Only the data in which one team won the game during the regular three periods are considered.

Every team could have 6 players on the ice: center, left winger, right winger, left defenseman, right defenseman and the goaltender.
In the original data, the information is recorded when the game events occurred. There are 11 types of events in the game: **Block** (one player blocks the opponent’s shot), **Change** (The control of the puck is changed from one team to another), **Face** (one player faces another after a stoppage of play), **Give** (someone gives the puck to an opponent), **Hit** (someone hits an opponent), **Miss** (someone’s shot missed the net), **Pend** (the end of a period), **Penalty** (someone commits a foul), **Shot** (a shot on the net), **Take** (someone takes the puck from an opponent), **Goal** (if someone scores).

Some of the event types obviously have no influence to change the win probability. In our model, we only extract the events which can change the win probability. The details are illustrated in Chapter 3.
1.3 Win Probability

Win probability (WP), also winning probability, is used for estimating a sports team's chances of winning at any time in a game by using statistic and mathematics methods. The idea of WP is widely used in major sports such as basketball, football, baseball, and hockey[1][2][3][4].

It is useful to know the WP of a team in-game. WP can not only provide the evaluations of plays, but also help the coaches in decisions. In this thesis, three methodologies are proposed and discussed to set up the WP models in a hockey game. The first model is built by using statistics measure and is introduced in Chapter 4. The second one is estimated by using the data classification techniques, and is discussed in Chapter 5. The last one is a real time model, which uses stream mining technique and is introduced in Chapter 6.

In this thesis, Naive Bayes, Support Vector Machines (SVM), Hoeffding Tree, Random Tree, and Stream Mining algorithms are compared during the process to build the WP model. R, Excel, Stata, JAVA, JXL, Weka, and MOA tools were used for building the WP model, processing the data, executing the program, figuring out the results, and evaluating the experiment results.

1.4 Contributions

The main contribution of this thesis is that the stream mining technique can be used in estimating the win probability in a hockey game. Stream mining model is a training-update-
training, real time model, which highly increased the classification accuracy in prediction. Also, several popular classification algorithms’ accuracies are compared by executing them on our hockey data set. It can provide a reference of the efficiency by choosing the data classification algorithms in the win probability set up in a hockey game. In addition, two other schemes for calculating the win probability are introduced in this thesis. One is using the historical statistics to define how much change is observed in different variables. In another method, historical data is used to train a decision rule, then a program was written based on the rule to calculate the win probability for a new game. Moreover, several win probability calculation methods based on the classification results were designed.

1.5 Outline

The rest of the thesis contains the following contents:

Chapter 2 shows some related works about win probability calculation in major sports games.

Chapter 3 introduces the original data, and the process to extract the variables. Some tools for data preprocessing are also presented in this chapter.

Chapter 4 shows the process of how the win probability model is built based on the statistics measure, and the algorithm designed for this scheme is discussed.

Chapter 5 introduces the data mining technology, and compares the accuracy of some popular data classification algorithms. According to the results of the accuracy comparison
of these algorithms, the algorithm with the highest performance is used for the classification experiments, and the experiment results are illustrated. Also, in this chapter, two WP calculation methods are discussed.

**Chapter 6** presents the stream mining methodology used for designing the win probability model in a hockey game. Furthermore, a stream mining tool is also introduced in this chapter.

**Chapter 7** is about the conclusion of the thesis and the future work.
Chapter 2

Literature Review

2 Related Work

2.1 Win Probability Estimation in Sports Games

The idea of WP estimation for major sports is not new. Early uses of win probability were primarily in Major League Baseball but have existed since the beginning of the 1960s [9]. Recent books on baseball analytics dedicate entire sections or chapters to the topic of win probability [10]. Nowadays, WP is widely used in basketball (NBA), football (NFL), and ice hockey (NHL). For NBA and NFL examples, see [2] and [3], respectively. The new research on the win probability models in hockey game are given in [4] [5].
2.2 Win Probability Model Estimation in Hockey Games

Previous work of win probability estimation in a hockey game mainly includes three approaches. The first one is modeling the scoring rates, in which Poisson process, Bernoulli process is simulated [11]. Also, in some cases, Markov chain is a good model [6]. For instance, one approach to estimate the win probability in a hockey game is based on a Poisson scoring distribution. Teams score an average of 2.79 goals per 60 minutes of regular time, which is equal to 0.0465 goals per minute. A Poisson distribution based on that per-minute scoring rate and the time remaining in the game yields the probabilities of each team scoring a number of possible goals by the end of the game. Summing up all the probabilities of all the possible combinations of final scores gives the game’s win probability [12].

Predicting the game results before the game begins is possible if we have enough information of the factors which impact the play of the game. The second approach is to summarize reports of the players’ conditions, coach reviews, and fans responses, combining the historical game results between the two teams in this situation. Win probability in this method is a fixed value. This method can be used for any competitive sport games. Combined with all the above factors, we can calculate a weighted grade for each team. Based on the result of the grade for each team, we can estimate the win probability for each team. One instance of using this approach is given in [3]. In their model, every factor is presented as a tree. Random forests generate predictions by combining predicted values from a set of trees. Each individual tree provides a prediction of the response as a function of predictor variable values.
The third approach is based on the data mining models. Data mining techniques have been developed to take large data analysis. In recent researches, some papers use the analysis of individual players in a team to model the win probability. In this model, they take ice hockey statistics as an input and score each player’s contribution to their team [13][14]. Other papers focus on the team work [15][16]. They take multiple players’ statistics into account to quantify how effective multiple players are together. They try to find the social network between the player combos in attacking and defending. For example, one network model defines players as nodes and ball movements as links. Network properties of degree of centrality, clustering, entropy, and flow centrality across teams and positions, are analyzed to characterize the game from a network perspective and to determine whether we can assess differences in team offensive strategy by their network properties. The compiled network structure across teams reflected a fundamental attribute of strategy [15]. More information is given in the review of social network of team sports [16]. In addition, some papers build their models based on the whole team’s data. More hockey models are given in[12].

2.3 Approach used in this thesis

When a hockey game is being played, its data comes continuously. Therefore, it is possible to use the stream mining method to estimate the win probability of a team once the game starts. Data stream mining technique is widely used in computer network traffic, phone conversations, ATM transactions, web searches, and sensor data [18]. One application used stream mining in diabetes therapy management [19]. One article about increasing the
accuracy of decision tree rules in stream mining is given in [20]. Using stream mining technique to improve the accuracy of classification results for the hockey game data is a new attempt.

If we want to know a real time win probability of a team in a live hockey game, data mining technique is a good choice. In the data mining models, Cross-validation is usually used. The main idea of data mining model is using the historical data to train the classification rules for the predictable data. In this thesis, our WP model set-up mainly used the data mining approach, the data mining classification method. Moreover, stream mining technique is a new attempt introduced in this thesis. Besides, another method for win probability estimation in a hockey game based on statistics is introduced.

Decision tree learning is one of the most important classification techniques in data mining. The technique has been successfully applied in many areas, such as business intelligence[21], healthcare[22], biomedicine[23], and so forth. The traditional approach to building a decision tree, powered by Greedy Search, loads a full set of data into memory and partitions the data into a hierarchy of nodes and leaves. However, the tree cannot be changed when new data are acquired unless the whole model is rebuilt by reloading the complete set of historical data together with the new data. Incremental decision tree is used for unbounded input data such as data streams, in which new data continuously flow in without end. One incremental decision tree model used Hoeffding Bound in [20]. In their model, they present a novel node-splitting approach that replaces the traditional Hoeffding Bound with a new measure. The new measure is derived from a loss function applied in a cache-based classifier within a sliding window during incremental decision tree learning. Replacing the use of Hoeffding Bound with this new bound is proposed for growing a
Hoeffding decision tree that adapts to concept drifts detected in the data stream, thus improving the accuracy of prediction.

In this thesis, our models are built only using the objective variables of the teams’ data to estimate the win probability in a hockey game. We pay attention to the variables such as whether a team is home or visiting, the home team score, the visiting team score, numbers of shots of the both teams, numbers of misses of both teams, numbers of penalties of both teams, and how much time is left in the game.
Chapter 3

Data Preprocessing

3 Data Set

Our original data is downloaded by using a R package, ‘nhlscrapr’. A.C. Thomas is one of the programmers who built the ‘nhlscrapr’ [24]. The purpose to build this package was to help other researchers get the pre-processed data set of NHL. Thus, the author already did some preprocessing work on the data.

By using ‘nhlscrapr’, NHL data can be downloaded season by season [25]. For our experiments, we downloaded 8 seasons of data for the years 2003 to 2014 (season 2005-2006, season 2006-2007, and season 2010-2011 were not available from that package).

In one season, there are 1230 normal games which include about 470,000 events. On average there are almost 400 events in one game. In one game, the data are sorted by the game time and game event. There are more than ten event types in the data such as goal, miss, block, and shot. Once a game event occurs, some information such as, team name responsible for the event, time, and scores of both teams are recorded. Then these events are listed by the time from the beginning of game to the end of the game.
**R Programming Language**

R is a language and environment for statistical computing and graphics. It is a GNU project which is similar to the S language and environment which was developed at Bell Laboratories (formerly AT&T, now Lucent Technologies) by John Chambers and colleagues. R can be considered as a different implementation of S. There are some important differences, but much code written for S runs unaltered under R) [26]. Polls, surveys of data miners, and studies of scholarly literature databases show that R's popularity has increased substantially in recent years [27]. The biggest advantage of R is that it is open source. Thus, it contains almost all the popular algorithms’ packages in statistics and data analysis domain.

Figure 2 shows the commands of ‘nhlscrapr’ for downloading one season’s NHL data based on RStudio console. Examples of the original data are given in Appendix A.
Figure 2 Commands of 'nhlscrapr' for downloading one season's NHL data
3.1 Data Preprocessing

Data preprocessing is the first step of data mining. The main task of data preprocessing includes data cleaning, data integration, data reduction, data transformation, and data discretization [28]. In our model, we extracted the variables which indicate the different performance measures between the home team and the visiting team from the original data. Thus, data reduction and data transformation were applied on the hockey data.

3.2 Variables

In order to build our model to calculate the win probability of the home team, we need to do some calculations, as shown below, to create the variables which will illustrate the difference in the performance of both the teams. The following variables have been defined: *leadsize, home/visitor, miss, shot, penalty,* and *elapsed time* (in seconds) at each game event.

3.2.1 Leadsize

In our model, leadsize is the most important variable to calculate the win probability of one game. The value of leadsize indicates how many points the home team is ahead of the visiting team. Thus,

\[ LS_i = HS_i - VS_i \]
where $LS_i$ represents the value of leadsize at event $i$, $HS_i$ is the score of the home team at event $i$, and $VS_i$ is the score of the visiting team at event $i$.

### 3.2.2 Home/visitor

Almost in all sport games, home team has a greater probability than the visitor team to win the game [29]. However, in some sports, it has a huge influence. In a hockey game, its impact is quite significant. From season 2007 to season 2014, we found that home team averagely won 55% games as shown in Table 1. The columns “home wins” and “visitor wins” show the number of games won by the home team and the visiting team, respectively. In this research, all the win probabilities discussed are the home teams’ win probabilities. Thus, at the beginning of the game (at 0 seconds), the home team’s win probability is taken as 55%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>season</th>
<th>home wins</th>
<th>visitor wins</th>
<th>total games</th>
<th>home percentage</th>
<th>visitor percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>1286</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>45.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>1308</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>58.1%</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>1303</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
<td>44.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>1313</td>
<td>56.1%</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-2008</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1309</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>4054</td>
<td>3269</td>
<td>7324</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2.3 Time remaining (in seconds)

Time is another important variable in our model. In a hockey game, the winning probability of a team that is leading by 2 points is very different in period 1, period 2, and period 3. If
the team has leadsize of 2 points in period 1, it is not a big advantage. The opponent has a higher probability to equalize the score in the remaining time. However, if the team has leadsize of 2 points in period 3, it must be a big advantage. Unless there is a miracle, the other team cannot equalize the score as the time is not enough to win the game. Similarly, a team has a big advantage if it is 3 points ahead in period 2. In Table 2, the rows in red color support the above arguments.

Table 2 shows statistics of all the situations of the home team with different leadsizes in period 1, period 2, and period 3 respectively in whole season 2013-2014. The columns are defined as follows:

Leadsize $\rightarrow$ score of the home team minus score of the visiting team

Period $\rightarrow$ period in which the home team is leading

Win number $\rightarrow$ the number of games won in the given period

Lose number $\rightarrow$ the number of games lost in the given period

Total $\rightarrow$ sum of the win number and lose number
Table 2 Leadsize Statistics of the Home Team at Different Periods for Season 2013-2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>leadsize</th>
<th>period</th>
<th>win number</th>
<th>lose number</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=-4</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>75.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>86.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>82.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>97.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>94.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>99.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=4</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Row 2 in Table 2 shows that in 41 games when the home team was 3 goals behind the visiting team in period 1, and the home team won in 5 of the 41 games, i.e. 12.2%. The row with blue color shows that when the game just started (leadsize = 0, period = 1), the home team won 55% of the games finally, which prove the results of Table 1.

In order to find the relationship between time remaining and leadsize we observe the following from Table 2. Based on the statistics (first row), we find that if the home team
was 4 or more goals behind the visiting team, the home team did not win the game finally.
On the contrary, if the home team was 4 or more goals ahead of the visiting team (last row),
the home team won the game finally. Also, the percentage of wins of the home team, no
matter the leadsize in period 3, is higher than the percentage of wins of the home team with
the same leadsize in period 2. The percentage of wins of the home team, no matter the
leadsize in period 2, is higher than the percentage of wins of the home team with the same
leadsize in period 1.

To find the relationship between leadsize and time, we fix the value of leadsize and observe
the percentage of wins of the home team in different periods.

Based on Table 2, we construct Figure 3 and Figure 4. Figure 3 shows that the home teams
won 70% of the games in which the leadsize was 1 in period 1; home teams won 76% of
the games in which the leadsize was 1 in period 2, and the home teams won 85% of the
games in which the leadsize was 1 in period 3. We observe the following relationship:

\[ 70 \times 1.1 = 77 \approx 76 \]

\[ 70 \times 1.2 = 84 \approx 85 \]

i.e. 76 is approximately 1.1 times 70 and 85 is 1.2 times 70. The percentage of wins in
games that had a leadsize of 1 in period 2 equals 1.1 times the percentage of wins in games
that had a leadsize of 1 in period 1. Also, the percentage of wins in games that had a
leadsize of 1 in period 3 is 1.2 times the percentage of wins in games that had a leadsize of
1 in period 1.
Figure 3 Percentage of wins of the teams in different periods with leadsize 1

Figure 4 shows that the home teams won 82% of the games when they had a leadsize of 2 in period 1, home teams won 88% of the games when they had a leadsize of 2 in period 2, and the teams won 96% of the games when they had a leadsize of 2 in period 3. We observe the following relationship:

\[ 82 \times 1.1 = 90.2 \approx 88 \]

\[ 82 \times 1.2 = 98.4 \approx 96 \]

i.e. 88 is approximately 1.1 times 82 and 96 is 1.2 times 82. That is the percentage of wins in games that had a leadsize of 2 in period 2 approximately equals 1.1 times the percentage of wins in games that had a leadsize of 2 in period 1. Also, the percentage of wins in games
that had a leadsize of 2 in period 3 is approximately 1.2 times the percentage of wins in

games that had a leadsize of 2 in period 1.

![Bar chart showing percentage of wins in different periods with leadsize 2.]

**Figure 4** Percentage of wins of the teams in different periods with leadsize 2

Based on the statistics shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4, we assume that the variable time
improves the impact of variable leadsize. The less time remaining, the more influence of
leadsize there is. Thus, based on how much time remained, we multiply a weight to leadsize.

For example,

\[ L_{p2} = 1.1 \times L_{p1} \]

where \( L \) is the value of leadsize, \( L_{p2} \) represents leadsize in period 2 and \( L_{p1} \) represents
leadsize in period 1. Here, we set the weight=1.1.
3.2.4 Shot, Penalty, and Miss

Shot, penalty, and miss are other three variables in our model. But compared with the above variables, they have minimal impact on the results.

The value of Shot indicates how many shots the home team hit more than the visiting team. Thus,

\[ S_i = Sh_i - Sv_i \]

where \( S_i \) represents the value of Shot at event \( i \), \( Sh_i \) is the number of shots of the home team before event \( i \), and \( Sv_i \) is the number of shots of the visiting team before event \( i \).

The value of Penalty indicates how many more penalties the home team committed than the visiting team. Thus,

\[ P_i = Ph_i - Pv_i \]

where \( P_i \) represents the value of Penalty at event \( i \), \( Ph_i \) is the number of penalties of the home team at event \( i \), and \( Pv_i \) is the number of penalties of the visiting team already did before event \( i \).

The value of Miss indicates how many more missed shots the home team had than the visiting team. Thus,

\[ M_i = Mh_i - Mv_i \]

where \( M_i \) represents the value of Miss at event \( i \), \( Mh_i \) is the number of misses of the home team before event \( i \), and \( Mv_i \) is the number of misses of the visiting team before event \( i \).
Table 3 and Table 4 show the statistics for penalty and shot in season 2013-2014. We find that the penalty and shot indeed have little influence on the game result. For example, in most of the situations, the variable penalty is in the interval [-4,4], in which, the percentage of the games home won is around 50%. Therefore, their effects are calculated in different algorithms as different values case by case.

Table 3 Statistics of Penalty in Season 2013-2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>penalty</th>
<th>no.of win</th>
<th>no.of lose</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>win/total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=-8</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>49.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>76.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>1157</td>
<td>77.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>2837</td>
<td>2599</td>
<td>5436</td>
<td>48.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>9343</td>
<td>7255</td>
<td>16598</td>
<td>52.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>23310</td>
<td>20708</td>
<td>44018</td>
<td>48.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>53379</td>
<td>45890</td>
<td>99269</td>
<td>49.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>95005</td>
<td>79964</td>
<td>174969</td>
<td>50.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>47445</td>
<td>42694</td>
<td>90139</td>
<td>48.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>19112</td>
<td>16464</td>
<td>35576</td>
<td>49.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6350</td>
<td>4387</td>
<td>10737</td>
<td>55.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1046</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>1884</td>
<td>51.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>28.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>0.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4 Statistics of Shot in Season 2013-2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>shot No.</th>
<th>no.of win</th>
<th>no.of lose</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>win/total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;-20</td>
<td>1672</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>3664</td>
<td>41.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[-20,-10)</td>
<td>17313</td>
<td>17095</td>
<td>34408</td>
<td>46.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[-10,0)</td>
<td>107129</td>
<td>98152</td>
<td>205281</td>
<td>48.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>23568</td>
<td>20094</td>
<td>43662</td>
<td>49.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0,10]</td>
<td>96385</td>
<td>75304</td>
<td>171689</td>
<td>52.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10,20]</td>
<td>11747</td>
<td>8143</td>
<td>19890</td>
<td>54.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>1521</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>2353</td>
<td>60.54%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.2.5 Data format after preprocessing

After all the data preprocessing work is completed, we obtain the data format shown in Table 5. It shows a part of the game data of the match between Toronto Maple Leafs and Montreal Canadians. Column 1 is the game code in this season. Column 2 and 3 are the periods and time (in seconds) respectively. Column 4 is the event type. Column 5 shows the event team name. Columns 6 and 7 show the home team name and visiting team name respectively. Columns 8 and 9 show home team score and visiting team score respectively. Columns 10-14 are the useful variables we extracted for estimating the win probability model. The data is the history data, and last column shows the result for the home team.
Table 5 Example of Processed Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gcode</th>
<th>period</th>
<th>seconds</th>
<th>etype</th>
<th>ev.team</th>
<th>hometeam</th>
<th>visitorteam</th>
<th>home.score</th>
<th>visitor.score</th>
<th>h/v</th>
<th>leadsize</th>
<th>DFshot</th>
<th>Dfpenalty</th>
<th>DFmiss</th>
<th>result(h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>SHOT</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>SHOT</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>SHOT</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>SHOT</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>MISS</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>SHOT</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>SHOT</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>MISS</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>SHOT</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>SHOT</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>PENL</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>SHOT</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>SHOT</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>SHOT</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>SHOT</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>win</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3 Experiment Data

In our experiment, we randomly choose three games’ data from season 2013-2014 used for comparing and showing our results. Their game codes are 611, 727, and 757. Game 727 is a home team win, game 611 is a home team loss, and game 727 is a tie at the end of the third period. In data mining model, the data for the other games (other than 611, 727, 757) in this season are used for training and building the classification rules, and in statistics model, these data are used for statistics.
Chapter 4

Win Probability Model Based on Statistics

4 Win Probability Algorithm Based on Statistics (WPS)

If we calculate some statistics using the 8 seasons NHL data, we can find the similarities in the games won. Also, we can find the relationships between different variables. In addition, we can know which variables are influential for the game result, and how much they do influence. In other words, we have tried to find the similarities of these variables in the win games, and the similarities of these variables in the lost games.

WPS is a WP calculation method using statistics technique. Based on the result of statistics, we can define, for example, how much is one goal worth, how much a missed shot decreases the win probability, in a hockey game. The main idea of the win probability
algorithm based on statistics is defining the values of the impactful variables in a hockey game. Then if we combine these values, we can get the win probability at each game event.

4.1 Process of WPS

According to the statistical results, we can find the relation between the variables (defined in section 3.3) and game results. Thus, we can define somehow the impact of one variable’s value on the win probability. The methodology of WPS is as follows:

1. Define WP as x. Add new columns in the original dataset that shows leadsize of the home team over the visiting team, the difference between the number of shots by home team and visiting team, the difference between the number of penalties for the home team and visiting team, and the difference between the number of miss shots for the home team and visiting team.

2. Check the value of Leadsize, the initial value of x depends on the value of Leadsize shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>leadsize</th>
<th>&lt;=-4</th>
<th>-3</th>
<th>-2</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>&gt;=4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Check the value of H/V: if H/V is home,

\[ x = x + 5\% \]

else,

\[ x = x - 5\% \]
4. Check the value of **time** (in seconds) where **time** < 1200 represents period 1, [1200, 2400] represents period 2, and [2400, 3600] represents period 3. The winning probability $x$ is multiplied with a weight as shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (sec)</th>
<th>WP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1200</td>
<td>$x=x \times 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1200, 2400)</td>
<td>$x=x \times 1.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2400, 3000)</td>
<td>$x=x \times 1.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 3000$</td>
<td>$x=x \times 1.5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Check the value of **Shot**, $x$ will change according to the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shot</th>
<th>$&lt;-20$</th>
<th>$[-20,-10)$</th>
<th>$[-10,0)$</th>
<th>$0$</th>
<th>$(0,10]$</th>
<th>$(10,20]$</th>
<th>$&gt;20$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x=$</td>
<td>$x-10%$</td>
<td>$x-4%$</td>
<td>$x-2%$</td>
<td>$x+0$</td>
<td>$x+2%$</td>
<td>$x+4%$</td>
<td>$x+10%$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Check the value of **Penalty**, $x$ will change according to the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penalty</th>
<th>$\leq -5$</th>
<th>$[-4,4]$</th>
<th>$\geq 5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x=$</td>
<td>$x+10%$</td>
<td>$x+0$</td>
<td>$x-10%$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Check the value of **Miss**, $x$ will change according to the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Miss</th>
<th>$\leq -3$</th>
<th>$[-2,2]$</th>
<th>$\geq 3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x=$</td>
<td>$x+5%$</td>
<td>$x+0$</td>
<td>$x-5%$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Finally, the value of $x$ is the WP at current event.

### 4.2 Java program using JXL

JXL (JExcel API) is a Java API to read, write and modify Excel spreadsheets, which is the most powerful Java Excel API until now. It is available for reading and writing Excel 2003
and Excel 2007 files. More information about JXL can be found from footnote link¹. With the help of JXL, we can implement the win probability algorithm by using Java programming language. The Java code based on statistics can be found in Appendix B.

We write a Java program that implements the algorithm based on the statistical results, whose input is the game data in the format shown in Table 5. JXL helps us create an output Excel file automatically which includes the results at every game event. The whole process is shown in Figure 5. Finally, plotting the typical points that correspond to the change in the events and drawing a line through them, we can obtain the WP of the home team for the whole game.

![Figure 5 Process of JXL Create Outputs](image)

¹ [http://jexcelapi.sourceforge.net/]
4.3 Results of WPS

Based on the above method (WPS), we extracted some games’ data as test data. Following are the results of 3 games from Season 2013-2014, TOL vs MTL (game 727 between Toronto and Montreal), DET vs CHI (game 757 between Detroit and Chicago), and VAN vs T.B. (game 611 between Vancouver and Tampa Bay). Figure 6 shows the win probability of Toronto. The X-axis is the elapsed time, from the beginning (second 0) to the end (second 3600). The Y-axis is the win probability (0% - 100%). Figure 7 shows the win probability of Detroit and Figure 8 shows the win probability of Vancouver.

In the first game, home team (TOR) got goals at seconds 289, 1991, 2267, 3267, and 3596 respectively, but the visiting team (MTL) got 3 goals at seconds 1049, 2388, and 2946 respectively; In the second game, home team (DET) got 4 scores at seconds 674, 1060, 1580, and 1874 respectively, but the visiting team (CHI) got 4 goals at seconds 521, 626, 1503 and 2712 respectively; In the last game, home team (VAN) got 2 scores at seconds 1885, and 2161, but the visiting team (T.B.) got 4 goals at seconds 2127, 2147, 2397 and 2348 respectively. The goal events of the three test games can be found from Appendix C.

In this thesis, all the win probabilities shown in the figures are the home team’s win probabilities. First game is a home-wins game, and final score is 5-3. Second game also is tie at the end of third period, and final score is 4-4. The last game is a home-loss game, and final score is 2-4. Their processed data (Showing useful events which impact the WP and the extracted variables) and calculation results can be found in Appendix D.
Figure 6 Win Probability of Toronto in Game 727 Season 2013-2014 by using WPS

Figure 7 Win Probability of Detroit in Game 757 Season 2013-2014 by using WPS
In this chapter, we introduced a model to build WP by using an algorithm based on statistics. Basically, the idea is obtained by observing and summarizing history data. In this method we defined some values according to the rules summarized from the history data. In a hockey game, goal is the most important game event. Thus, once a scored, there should be a huge increase in its WP. This characteristic is significantly illustrated in WPS.
Chapter 5

Win Probability Model based on Data Mining Technique

5 Data Mining Concepts

Data mining is the data driven extraction of information from such large databases, a process of automated presentation of patterns, rules, and functions to a knowledgeable user for review and examination [30]. The process of data mining is to discover the useful patterns and relationships in large volumes of data. The field combines tools from statistics and artificial intelligence (such as neural networks and machine learning) with database management to analyze large digital collections, known as data sets [31]. The overall goal of the data mining process is to extract information from a data set and transform it into an understandable structure for further use. Aside from the raw analysis step, it involves database and data management aspects, data pre-processing, model and inference considerations, interestingness metrics, complexity considerations, post-processing of discovered structures, visualization, and online updating. Data mining is the analysis step of the "knowledge discovery in databases" process, or KDD [32].
Data mining technique includes association rules, classification, and clustering. In order to build the WP calculation model, the main technology used in this thesis is data classification. Classification is the process of finding a model (or function) that describes and distinguishes data classes or concepts. The model is derived based on the analysis of a set of training data (i.e., data objects for which the class labels are known). The model is used to predict the class label of objects for which the class label is unknown [33].

![Figure 9 Process of the WP Model Building by Using Data Classification](image-url)
Figure 9 shows the process of establishing the win probability algorithm based on classification (WPC). The figure shows the general WPC method. However, there are three places which make the specific algorithms different:

1. First of all, we have the processed data, the data format and variables are discussed in Chapter 3. But we need to use different methods to define the classes for the sake of matching the suitable WP calculation methods.

2. Second, in step 1 of Figure 9, we can use varieties of classification algorithms to classify our data.

   Using different algorithms give different classification results. Using different classification results we get different win probabilities.

3. Finally, in step 2 of Figure 9, we can use different WP calculation methods to get the WP of the home team. Obviously, this is one place to create different results. Using different WP calculation methods we get different win probabilities.

In order to get significant results, we need to do best in all of the three places. In one word, we need to choose the most efficient classification algorithm to do classification, and design the most appropriate WP calculation method to fit this algorithm.

### 5.1 Classification Algorithms

The process of classification is to use the training data set to find the classification rules, and use the rules to classify the test data set. We have seasons of the history data of the NHL games, which can be regarded as the training data set. Then we can regard one NHL hockey game data as the test data set, and get the classes by the classification rules trained
from the history data. This is why classification can be used to predict the result of one hockey game.

### 5.1.1 Naive Bayes

Naive Bayesian classifiers assume that the effect of an attribute value on a given class is independent of the values of the other attributes. This assumption is called class conditional independence. It is made to simplify the computations involved and, in this sense, is considered “naive” Error! Reference source not found.

The Naive Bayes Classifier technique is particularly suited for nominal variables [35]. Although Naive Bayes is a simple technique, it can often outperform more sophisticated classification methods when the dimensionality of the inputs is high [36]. The naive Bayesian classifier works as follows[37][38]:

Let D be a training set of tuples and their associated class labels. As usual, each tuple is represented by an n-dimensional attribute vector $X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$, depicting n measurements made on the tuple from n attributes, respectively, $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$. Suppose that there are m classes, $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_m$. Given a tuple X, the classifier will predict that X belongs to the class having the highest posterior probability, conditioned on X. That is, the Naive Bayesian classifier predicts that tuple X belongs to the class $C_i$ if and only if

$$P(C_i | X) > P(C_j | X) \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i.$$
Naive Bayes classifier maximize the \( P(C_i | X) \). The class \( C_i \) for which \( P(C_i | X) \) is maximized is called the maximum posteriori hypothesis. By Bayes’ theorem (Eq. 5-1),

\[
P(C_i | X) = \frac{P(X | C_i) * P(C_i) / P(X)}
\]

Naive Bayes classification method is based on Bayes’ theorem. We tested Naive Bayes classification algorithm in Weka 3.7 to classify our test instances for the sake of comparing its accuracy with our classification algorithms.

### 5.1.2 SVM (Support Vector Machine)

Support Vector Machines (SVMs) is a method for the classification of both linear and nonlinear data. In a nutshell, an SVM is an algorithm that works as follows[39]: it uses a nonlinear mapping to transform the original training data into a higher dimension. Within this new dimension, it searches for the linear optimal separating hyperplane (i.e., a “decision boundary” separating the tuples of one class from another). With an appropriate nonlinear mapping to a sufficiently high dimension, data from two classes can always be separated by a hyperplane. The SVM finds this hyperplane using support vectors and margins (defined by the support vectors).

A separating hyperplane can be written as

\[
W \cdot X + b = 0
\]

Where \( W \) is a weight vector, namely, \( W = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n\} \); \( n \) is the number of attributes; and \( b \) is a scalar, often referred to as a bias. If we input two attributes, A1 and A2. Training
tuples are 2-D (e.g., \(X=(x_1, x_2)\)), where \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) are the values of attributes \(A_1\) and \(A_2\), respectively. Thus,

any points above the separating hyperplane belong to Class \(A_1\):

\[
W \cdot X + b > 0
\]  

(5-3)

any points below the separating hyperplane belong to Class \(A_2\):

\[
W \cdot X + b < 0
\]  

(5-4)

The first paper on support vector machines was presented in 1992 by Vladimir Vapnik and Colleagues Bernhard Boser and Isabelle Guyon [41][42][39], although the ground work for SVMs has been around since the 1960s (including early work by Vapnik and Alexei Chervonenkis on statistical learning theory). Although the training time of even the fastest SVMs can be extremely slow, they are highly accurate, owing to their ability to model complex nonlinear decision boundaries. They are much less prone to overfitting than other methods. The support vectors found also provide a compact description of the learned model. SVMs can be used for numeric prediction as well as classification. They have been applied to a number of areas, including handwritten digit recognition, object recognition, and speaker identification, as well as benchmark time-series prediction tests [39].

SVM is a classification method using a nonlinear mapping to transform the original training data into a higher dimension [43]. With the new dimension, it searches for the linear optimal separating hyperplane. In our hockey game win probability estimating model, we have five variables. Thus, it is a 5-dimension model. The task of this algorithm is using support vectors to find the hyperplanes between the classes.
5.1.3 Hoeffding tree

In a stream-based classification, the VFDT (Very Fast Decision Tree) is built incrementally over time by splitting nodes into using a small amount of the incoming data stream. How many samples have to be seen by the learning model to expand a node depends on a statistical method called the Hoeffding bound. A Hoeffding tree (VFDT) is an incremental, anytime decision tree induction algorithm that is capable of learning from massive data streams, assuming that the distribution generating examples does not change over time. Hoeffding tree is a method for learning online from the high-volume data streams that are increasingly common. Hoeffding trees allow learning in very small constant time per example, and have strong guarantees of high asymptotic similarity to the corresponding batch trees. VFDT is a high-performance data mining system based on Hoeffding trees.

Hoeffding tree is an incremental decision tree built by using Hoeffding bound [44]. Consider a real-valued random variable \( r \) whose range is \( R \) (e.g., for a probability the range is one, and for an information gain the range is \( \log c \), where \( c \) is the number of classes). Suppose we have made \( n \) independent observations of this variable, and computed their mean \( \bar{r} \). The Hoeffding bound states that, with probability \( 1 - \delta \), the true mean of the variable is at least \( \bar{r} - \epsilon \), where

\[
\epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{R^2 \ln(1/\delta)}{2n}} \tag{5-5}
\]

The Hoeffding bound has the very attractive property that it is independent of the probability distribution generating the observations. The price of this generality is that the
bound is more conservative than distribution-dependent ones (i.e. it will take more observations to reach the same $\delta$ and $\epsilon$) [45].

### 5.1.4 Random Tree

In mathematics and computer science, random tree is an algorithm which builds decision trees by a stochastic process. There are a lot of types of random trees such as uniform spanning tree and random binary tree.

Random decision tree algorithm constructs multiple decision trees randomly [46]. When constructing each tree, the algorithm picks a "remaining" feature randomly at each node expansion without any purity function check (such as information gain, gini index, etc.). A categorical feature (such as gender) is considered "remaining" if the same categorical feature has not been chosen previously in a particular decision path starting from the root of tree to the current node. Once a categorical feature is chosen, it is useless to pick it again on the same decision path because every example in the same path will have the same value (either male or female). However, a continuous feature (such as income) can be chosen more than once in the same decision path. Each time the continuous feature is chosen, a random threshold is selected. A tree stops growing any deeper if one of the following conditions is met:

1. A node becomes empty or there are no more examples to split in the current node.

2. The depth of tree exceeds some limits.

For our WP model, we used a classification tool called Weka to execute the classification algorithms first, then calculated the WP of a team based on the classification results.
5.2 Weka

Waikato Environment for Knowledge Analysis (Weka) is an open source software widely used in data mining field, which coded by Java at University of Waikato, New Zealand. It includes most of the algorithms in data classification, data clustering, and association rules. In Weka 3.7, it has both GUI console and command console.

In this thesis, all the data classification experiments are executed by Weka 3.7. Figure 10 is the GUI interface of Weka 3.7. Weka is a powerful workbench in data analyzes domain, especially in data mining. It can provide almost all the popular algorithms of data classification, data clustering, and association rule mining. Also, Knowledge Flow and data Visualization are available in Weka. More information can be found from the Manual and its official website [47][48].

![Figure 10 Work Bench of Weka 3.7](image-url)
In order to obtain the WP of a team at each particular event, we should get the class type of this event when we do the classification task. Classification algorithms are the techniques used to classify the data, but the class types should be defined before using the classification techniques. For example, we have one game event data which has the variables values: \textbf{Leadsign} = 3, \textbf{time} = 1897 seconds, \textbf{H/V} = home, \textbf{Miss} = -3, \textbf{Shot} = 4, \textbf{Penalty} = -1. What knowledge can we learn from this data? Thus, we need to define event type for each game event. When we have the class types for each game event, then we can do the classification.

The way to define class types is a very important step in data classification, because different class types definition methods decide different win probability methods. In this thesis, we will introduce two WP calculation methods in the following sections.

\textbf{5.3 WP Calculation Method Based on Win or Lose (WPWL)}

The data we used for classification are the history data. Thus, we know the game results. So we can define the event class type by the game result. Following are the steps of WPWL:

1. Randomly select 400,000 game events from NHL season 2013-2014 as the training data set, where the three test games’ events (games 611, 727, and 757) are excluded.
2. Using “win” or “lose” as the class labels to define these 400,000 events.
3. Using 400,000 events as training data set. Run different data classification algorithms on them. Find the most appropriate algorithm (highest classification accuracy), and get the classification rule.
4. Based on the rule, write a JAVA program (Appendix F), and run this program on the three test games data. Then we can get the “win” or “lose” class type on every game event.

5. For a particular game event i, sum the number of “wins” before this event in the game.
   Then:
   \[ wp = \frac{w_{ins_i}}{i} \]
   where \( w_{ins_i} \) is the number of “wins” before event i.
Figure 11 Flow Chart of WPWL
Figure 11 shows the process of WPWL. The most important step is the second one using Weka to choose the best classification algorithms. Figures 11 – 15 show the results of classification by Naïve Bayes, SVM, Hoeffding tree and Random tree methods respectively.

Figure 12 Result of Naïve Bayes based on WPWL
### Figure 13 Result of SVM based on WPWL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classifier output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time taken to build model: <strong>551.22</strong> seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>=== Stratified cross-validation ===</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>=== Summary ===</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctly Classified Instances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrectly Classified Instances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa statistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean absolute error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root mean squared error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative absolute error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root relative squared error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage of cases (0.95 level)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean rel. region size (0.95 level)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Instances</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 14 Result of Hoeffding Tree based on WPWL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classifier output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time taken to build model: <strong>4.76</strong> seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>=== Stratified cross-validation ===</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>=== Summary ===</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctly Classified Instances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrectly Classified Instances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa statistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean absolute error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root mean squared error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative absolute error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root relative squared error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage of cases (0.95 level)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean rel. region size (0.95 level)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Instances</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6 summarizes the results of the four algorithms.

Table 6 WPWL Performances Using four Algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithms</th>
<th>Time Cost</th>
<th>Correctly Classified Instances</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaiveBayes</td>
<td>0.88s</td>
<td>280630</td>
<td>70.16%</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>551.22s</td>
<td>280169</td>
<td>70.04%</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFDT</td>
<td>4.76s</td>
<td>289234</td>
<td>72.31%</td>
<td>0.723</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Tree</td>
<td>11.32s</td>
<td>311462</td>
<td>78.54%</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.890</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After comparing the results of all the four algorithms, we find Random Tree is the best algorithm for this model. Although it is not the fastest one, it has a prominent accuracy. Thus, in WPWL, we choose random tree as the classification algorithm. Then a JAVA program (Appendix F) is written to execute the Decision rules (Appendix E) which are provided by the Random Tree algorithm. Following is the pseudocode:
(1) **Read** `inputprocesseddata.xls`

(2) **for** (read row =1; row < sheet.getRows(); row++) **do**

(3) get value (**Leads**, **time**, **shot**, **penalty**, **miss**)

(4) Using the rule provided by Random Tree, according to the values of (3), classify this event

(5) **end** **for**

(6) **Write** `output.xls`

At last, apply the program on the three test games, and execute step 5 of WPWL to calculate the WP. The results are shown in Figure 16-18.

![NHL 2013-2014 Game727 TOR vs MTL](image)

*Figure 16 Win Probability of Toronto by using Random Tree and WPWL*
Figure 17 Win Probability of Vancouver by using Random Tree and WPWL

Figure 18 Win Probability of Detroit by using Random Tree and WPWL
Figure 16 shows a winning game, the home team keeps ahead in the whole game and finally won the game by 5-3; Figure 17 shows a losing game, the home team followed the visiting team all game and lost by 2-4 in the end; Figure 18 is a tie game, the home team followed the visiting team in the first half of the game and caught up at the end of the game. The final score was 4-4.

5.3.2 WP Calculation Method Based on Level (WPL)

Based on the analyses of the results of WPWL, we note that it can only show us the trend of the home teams’ winning. Unlike the algorithm of WPS which could show the continuous change of WP at each game event, it only shows the trend. Thus, if we combine the statistics technique and data mining technique, probably we can obtain advantages of both the methods. WPL uses statistics technique for defining class types and uses data classification technique for WP calculation steps. Followings are the steps of WPL (Figure 19):
Figure 19 Flow Chart of WPL
1. Randomly select 400,000 game events from NHL season 2013-2014 as the training data set, where the three test games’ (Games 727, 611, 757) events are excluded.

2. Use win probability levels: “A”, “B”, “C”, “D”, “E”, “O”, “V”, “W”, “X”, “Y”, and “Z” as the class labels to define these 400,000 events. The levels are calculated by the following algorithm (based on statistics):

   (1) The initial value of $x = \text{Leadsizes}$

   (2) Check the value of $\text{Time}$. The value of $x$ is multiplied by a weight as shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (sec)</th>
<th>WP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1200</td>
<td>$x = x*1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1200,2400)</td>
<td>$x = x*1.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2400,3000)</td>
<td>$x = x*1.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=3000</td>
<td>$x = x*1.5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   (3) Check the value of $\text{Shot}$. The value of $x$ will change according to the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shot</th>
<th>&lt;-20</th>
<th>[-20,-10)</th>
<th>[-10,10]</th>
<th>(10,20]</th>
<th>&gt;20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x =$</td>
<td>$x-0.4$</td>
<td>$x-0.2$</td>
<td>$x+0$</td>
<td>$x+0.2$</td>
<td>$x+0.4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   (4) Check the value of $\text{Penalty}$. The value of $x$ will change according to the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penalty</th>
<th>&lt;=-5</th>
<th>[-4,4]</th>
<th>&gt;=5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x =$</td>
<td>$x+0.3$</td>
<td>$x+0$</td>
<td>$x-0.3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   (5) Check the value of $\text{Miss}$. The value of $x$ will change according to the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Miss</th>
<th>&lt;=-3</th>
<th>[-2,2]</th>
<th>&gt;=3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x =$</td>
<td>$x+0.5$</td>
<td>$x+0$</td>
<td>$x-0.5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   (6) Define the event class type based on the value of $x$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>&lt;=-4</th>
<th>[-4,-3]</th>
<th>[-3,-2]</th>
<th>[-2,-1]</th>
<th>[-1,0]</th>
<th>(0,1)</th>
<th>(1,2)</th>
<th>(2,3)</th>
<th>(3,4)</th>
<th>&gt;=4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>class type</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Using 400,000 events as training data set, run different data classification algorithms. Find the best algorithm in terms of accuracy, and get the classification rules.
4. Based on the rule, write a JAVA program to execute this rule, and run this program on the three test games data. Then we obtain the class types ("A", "B", "C", "D", "E", "O", "V", "W", "X", "Y", and "Z") on every game event.

5. Classification results show us the WP level:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class type</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WP level</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Above is the complete process of WPL and the results give the percentage of win probability at every game event. Table 7 shows the event class types (partial set of events) extracted from 400,000 events, where the first column is the result after step (2), where leadsize is multiplied by a weight based on the remaining time.
Similarly, we randomly selected 400,000 instances but defined by win probability levels, we use Weka 3.7 to classify by choosing Naive Bayes, SVM, Hoeffding Tree, and Random Tree algorithms, respectively. The results are shown in figures 20 – 23.

### Table 7 Example of Class Types Calculation Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L&amp;T</th>
<th>Shot</th>
<th>Penalty</th>
<th>Miss</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Performance Evaluation of Naive Bayes and SVM

### Naive Bayes
- **Time taken to build model:** 1.27 seconds
- **Correctly Classified Instances:** 240846 (60.2113%)
- **Incorrectly Classified Instances:** 159155 (39.7887%)
- **Kappa statistic:** 0.5261
- **Mean absolute error:** 0.1024
- **Root mean squared error:** 0.2243
- **Relative absolute error:** 66.3972%
- **Root relative squared error:** 80.7685%
- **Coverage of cases (0.95 level):** 98.3813%
- **Mean rel. region size (0.95 level):** 27.9651%
- **Total Number of Instances:** 400001

### SVM
- **Time taken to build model:** 201.02 seconds
- **Correctly Classified Instances:** 289510 (72.3773%)
- **Incorrectly Classified Instances:** 110491 (27.6227%)
- **Kappa statistic:** 0.671
- **Mean absolute error:** 0.1499
- **Root mean squared error:** 0.2656
- **Relative absolute error:** 97.1366%
- **Root relative squared error:** 95.6186%
- **Coverage of cases (0.95 level):** 100%
- **Mean rel. region size (0.95 level):** 81.8182%
- **Total Number of Instances:** 400001

---

**Figure 20 Result of Naive Bayes based on WPL**

**Figure 21 Result of SVM based on WPL**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classifier output</th>
<th>Time taken to build model:</th>
<th>16.72 seconds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time taken to build model: 16.72 seconds</td>
<td><em><strong>Stratified cross-validation</strong></em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctly Classified Instances 388816</td>
<td>97.2038 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrectly Classified Instances 11185</td>
<td>2.7962 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa statistic</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean absolute error</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root mean squared error</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative absolute error</td>
<td>5.6922 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root relative squared error</td>
<td>22.3085 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage of cases (0.95 level)</td>
<td>99.7105 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean rel. region size (0.95 level)</td>
<td>10.7762 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Instances</td>
<td>400001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 22 Result of Hoeffding Tree based on WPL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classifier output</th>
<th>Time taken to build model:</th>
<th>8.51 seconds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time taken to build model: 8.51 seconds</td>
<td><em><strong>Stratified cross-validation</strong></em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctly Classified Instances 399979</td>
<td>99.9945 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrectly Classified Instances 22</td>
<td>0.0055 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa statistic</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean absolute error</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root mean squared error</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative absolute error</td>
<td>0.0065 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root relative squared error</td>
<td>1.1385 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage of cases (0.95 level)</td>
<td>99.9945 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean rel. region size (0.95 level)</td>
<td>9.0909 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Instances</td>
<td>400001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 23 Result of Random Tree based on WPL**
Table 8 shows the results of the four algorithms.

### Table 8 WPL Performances Using four Algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithms</th>
<th>Time Cost</th>
<th>Correctly Classified Instances</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaiveBayes</td>
<td>1.57s</td>
<td>240846</td>
<td>60.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>201.02s</td>
<td>289510</td>
<td>72.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFDT</td>
<td>16.72s</td>
<td>388816</td>
<td>97.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Tree</td>
<td>8.51s</td>
<td>399979</td>
<td>99.99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared with the results using WPWL, by using WPL, accuracies of all four algorithms improved except Naive Bayes. Especially, VFDT and Random Tree have a significant increase. Still, we find Random Tree is the best algorithm for this model. Only 21 of 400,000 instances are incorrectly classified. It has an almost 100% accuracy and a second fastest speed. Thus, we choose Random Tree and write its rule as a program.

The program is written in Java, which reads one game’s data (Excel file) and executes the decision rule trained by Random Tree. It gives the classification result (WP level) for every event and gives an output (Excel file). Following is the pseudocode:

(1) **Read** inputprocesseddata.xls

(2) **for** (read row =1; row < sheet.getRows(); row++) **do**

(3) get value (**Leadsize**, **time**, **shot**, **penalty**, **miss**)

(4) Using the rule provided by the Random Tree algorithm, according to the values of (3), classify current event

(5) **end for**

(6) **Write** output.xls
The program was then applied on the three test games and Step 5 in Figure 19 was executed to get the results shown in Figures 24 – 26.

In Figure 24, home team (TOR) got goals at 289, 1991, 2267, 3267, and 3596 seconds, respectively, but the visiting team (MTL) got three goals at 1049, 2388, and 2946 seconds, respectively (5-3). In Figure 25, home team (VAN) scored two goals at 1885, and 2161 seconds, but the visiting team (T.B) scored four goals at 2127, 2147, 2397 and 2348 seconds, respectively (2-4). In Figure 26, home team (DET) scored four goals at 674, 1060, 1580, and 1874 seconds, respectively, and the visiting team (CHI) scored four goals at 521, 626, 1503 and 2712 seconds, respectively (4-4).

In this WP Level method, we can see all the points on the figures are tenfold, and the WP has a huge change when any team got a goal.

Figure 24 Win Probability of Toronto by using Random Tree and WPL
Figure 25 Win Probability of Vancouver by using Random Tree and WPL

Figure 26 Win Probability of Detroit by using Random Tree and WPL
5.4 Analysis

In this chapter, we introduced the data mining technology used in hockey game result predictions. Data classification is an efficient method used for prediction. The basic idea of classification is using the training dataset to find the classification for the test dataset, where cross-validation method is used. In our case, the training dataset is the historical NHL data, and the testing dataset is the three test games’ data. There are many data classification algorithms. Some of them belong to decision tree, some of them based on Bayes' theorem, some of them based on functions. In this chapter, we compared four algorithms (Naive Bayes, SVM, Hoeffding Tree, and Random Tree) performance for our hockey dataset. Also, we designed two win probability calculation methods for our particular model. At last, we showed the results of using the highest performance algorithm (Random Tree) with these two WP calculation methods respectively. From the results, we find that using different labels to define the class types, and by executing the same classification algorithms, the accuracy of correctly classified instances could be increased. Therefore, selecting a classification algorithm is not the only way to improve the accuracy, but also the method to define the class types increases the accuracy.
Chapter 6

Stream Mining Model

6 Data Stream Mining

Data mining approach is able to handle the stable history data, but it still does not address the problem of a continuous supply of data [49]. Data Stream Mining is the method to solve this problem. In a playing hockey game, the data is coming continuously. Thus, if you want to know the win probabilities of the two teams in a playing hockey game, stream mining may provide one approach. We applied stream mining technique on our data set, and assumed the test games are playing games. Then we can obtain the WP of one team in every game event.

6.1 Incremental Data Stream Mining Model

When a hockey game is being played, its data continuously changes. Therefore, it is possible to use the stream mining method to estimate the win probability of a team once
the game begins. The models introduced in chapter 5 use the traditional data classification methods to estimate the classifier.

Figure 27 Traditional Data Mining Process versus Incremental Data Stream Mining Process[50]

Figure 27-a illustrates the traditional data mining process. Rules are trained by the training data set and applied on the test data set. Figure 27-b shows the process of incremental data stream mining model, in which rules are of the form train-update-train and data stream is the data set. The input data is in the form of continuous data stream that feeds into the incremental learning process for inferring a classifier in the form of a decision tree. The decision tree is incrementally updated every time new data arrives. The new data is tested by the current decision tree, a result is predicted and the testing performance can be observed. Instead of rebuilding the whole decision tree upon the arrival of fresh data by
reloading the full set of training data, incremental learning only updates the decision tree when its prediction accuracy falls below a predefined threshold [51].

The incremental learning model fulfills the dual purpose of achieving the most accurate classifier and determining the most relevant data subset from the data stream for decision rule induction. Readers are referred to [51] for details about the model.

The design of incremental data stream mining model comprises of two classifiers, the main tree classifier (MT) and the auxiliary tree classifier (AT). The MT maintains the global memory of the overall classification task and AT monitors the fluctuation of the data streams and detects concept-drift. Concept drift signifies fundamental changes in the underlying concepts among the data, usually due to some major events.

In incremental data stream mining model, data stream is divided into equivalent intervals (windows). In every window, AT can be trained by the new coming data and MT needs to be checked whether it should be updated. $P_k$ in Equation 6-1 is computed to decide if the decision tree should be updated when the new window of data are trained.

$$P_k = \frac{LOSS_k^{MTC} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} n_{ijk}}{LOSS_k^{ATC} + W + 1}$$

Equation 6-1

$LOSS_k^{MTC}$ and $LOSS_k^{ATC}$ are defined as follows:

$$LOSS_k^{MTC} = T_k^{MTC} - F_k^{MTC}$$

$$LOSS_k^{ATC} = T_k^{ATC} - F_k^{ATC}$$

$T_k^{MTC}$ is the number of correctly classified instances by main tree (MT), and $F_k^{MTC}$ is the number of incorrectly classified instances by main tree (MT). $T_k^{ATC}$ is the number of correctly classified
instances by auxiliary tree (AT), and $F_{k}^{ATC}$ is the number of incorrectly classified instances by auxiliary tree (AT). $\sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} n_{ijk}$ is a statistical count of sufficient instances that have been observed and they belong to their respective binary classes I and J (In our model, the binary classes are “win” and “lose”). W is the size of window. We set the threshold as 1. If $P_{k} < 1$, we update the rule, else we keep the old rule.

The process of building WP model based on stream mining technique is shown in Figure 28. We use the stream mining method to do the classification, and calculate the WP based on the classification results. The advantage of stream mining method is that it does not rely on the massive history data to train the classification rules. Stream mining method can train the rules when a hockey game is being played.
6.2 Massive Online Analysis (MOA)

In our project, we used a software tool called MOA to compare the accuracies of different classification algorithms for our particular instance. Figure 29 shows an example of the
comparison of two algorithms’ accuracies as instances increasing by using MOA (red line and blue line).

MOA is the most popular open source framework for data stream mining, with a very active growing community. It includes a collection of machine learning algorithms (classification, regression, clustering, outlier detection, concept-drift detection and recommender systems)
and tools for evaluation. Related to the WEKA project, MOA is also written in Java, while scaling to more demanding problems [53].

In our project, MOA is used for comparing the accuracies of the different classification algorithms in stream mining. We randomly choose 400,000 instances (events) from season 2013-2014 as the test stream for MOA (The three test games events are excluded). The value of W (window size) is set as 20. We executed Naive Bayes, Hoeffding Tree, and Random Tree algorithms in MOA. The results are shown in Figure 30. The lines in Figure 30 show that the average accuracy of Naive Bayes is 63%, Random Tree is 84%, and Hoeffding Tree is 90%.

![Figure 30 Comparison of Accuracy of Hoeffding Tree, Random Tree, and Naive Bayes](image-url)
6.3 Win Probability Model using Stream Mining

The goal of using stream mining model is to predict the win probability in a hockey game being played. The game’s data is coming continuously. Once the useful events reach a certain number, we have enough instances to train the classification rules. We set this number as the size of the window. In this case, Window size equals 20. According to the experience of the statistics of the history data, we found the number of useful events in a game, on average, usually from 95 to 110. Thus, in our model, there are 5 windows in a game (each of the first four includes 20 events and the remaining events are put in the fifth window). Figure 31 shows the process of the decision rule training window by window. Next we will introduce the classification by using Random Tree and Hoeffding Tree respectively.

![Figure 31 Decision rules trained window by window](image)

6.3.1 Classification by Random Tree

When we get the windows of data, we can extract the variables mentioned in chapter 3. One better way to define the class type of each event is to use the WP level method using
“A”, “B”, “C”, “D”, “E”, “O”, “V”, “W”, “X”, “Y”, and “Z” as the class labels based on the statistics results discussed in Chapter 4. The defined steps are similar to the WPL (Section 5.3.2). The win probability levels they represent respectively are as in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class type</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WP level</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9 is an example of one window data defined by WP level method. This window of data can be trained by Weka 3.7 using Random Tree. Figure 32 is an example of one window of the decision rule trained by Random Tree algorithm. When we get the decision rule for the first window, the rule for the next window is decided by the formula 6-1. In the next window, we can use the results classified by MT and AT to calculate $P_k$. If $P_k < 1$, update the rule and use AT’s rule, else keep the old rule and use MT’s rule. Thus, we can obtain the class types for every window based on these decision rules. At last, combining the results of five windows, using the same way as WPL, we can obtain the win probability of the whole game.
Table 9 Example of one window train by Random Tree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time(sec)</th>
<th>leadsize</th>
<th>shot</th>
<th>penalty</th>
<th>miss</th>
<th>class type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1343</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1343</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1375</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1504</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1542</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1574</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1585</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1596</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1628</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1629</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1680</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1715</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1724</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1838</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1866</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1884</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1919</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1934</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 32 Example of one window of the decision rule trained by Random Tree algorithm

Every window’s data is trained following the process shown in Figure 33. Formula 6-1 is used for deciding whether to update the rule. Then we can obtain the win probability of the home team in the whole game. For example, all the windows classification rules for game 611 are shown in Appendix G.

Figure 33 Software Environment for rules training

The results of the three test games’ (games 727, 611, and 757) are shown in Figures 34, 35 and 36 respectively.
Figure 34 Win Probability of Toronto by using Stream Mining with Random Tree

Figure 35 Win Probability of Vancouver by using Stream Mining with Random Tree
6.3.2 Classification by Hoeffding Tree

Although Hoeffding Tree has the highest accuracy among the three stream mining algorithms (Figure 30 Comparison of Accuracy of Hoeffding Tree, Random Tree, and Naive Bayes), it does not work well for the model with multiple class types such as WPL. Since Hoeffding Tree is a binary split algorithm, it only works well for the model such as WPWL.

Similar stream mining process is executed again (Figure 28). The data stream mining classification method used is Hoeffding Tree and the WP calculation method used is WPWL. Then we obtain the three games results by using Hoeffding Tree in Figures 37 – 39.
Figure 37 Win Probability of Toronto by using Stream Mining with Hoeffding Tree

Figure 38 Win Probability of Vancouver by using Stream Mining with Hoeffding Tree
In this chapter, we provide the approach by using data stream mining technique estimating the win probability in a hockey game. The advantage of using stream mining is that there is no need of too many historical data since it is a train-update-train model. In recent studies, stream mining is rarely used in major sport games win probability models. One of the reasons is that one game’s data is not enough as the training stream. In this thesis, combined with the statistic result, we designed the WPL for classifying more accurately. So the numbers of instance for training the basic classification rules reduces. Thus, it is possible to use stream mining technique for the WP modeling in a hockey game.

6.4 Analysis

Figure 39 Win Probability of Detroit by using Stream Mining with Hoeffding Tree
In this chapter, we compared the performance of Hoeffding Tree and Random Tree for our hockey dataset, and illustrated the results of the WP of the three test games by using Hoeffding Tree and Random Tree. Hoeffding Tree is very fast and has the best performance, but it can only work for the binary class type. However, compared with the multiple class types, the correct classification rate of binary class type is lower than the rate of multiple class type. Thus, Random Tree is better than Hoeffding Tree in some cases.
Chapter 7

Conclusions

7 Conclusions and Future Work

In this thesis, we introduced three models for estimating the win probability in a hockey game:

1. Measuring WP based on the statistics results of the historical data;
2. Measuring WP based on data mining classification algorithms;
3. Measuring WP based on stream mining technique.

7.1 Conclusions

There are sufficient precedents in the major sports such as baseball and basketball. However, the analysis on hockey game is relatively less. This is possibly because hockey is a continuous rapid game with relatively few major events. Thus, there are limited decisive objective variables that contribute to calculating the wining probability in a
hockey game. Although it is a hard job to estimate the win probability in a hockey game with few variables, large dataset of hockey statistics with these variables and by using data mining techniques, it is possible to estimate the winning probability. The goal of this thesis is to predict the win probability of a team in a hockey game by using data mining techniques. In this thesis, three models are introduced, statistic model, classification model, and stream mining model. All the researches of this thesis are based on the statistic results from the historical NHL data.

A major contribution of this thesis is to estimate the win probability of a live hockey game by using stream mining method. Also, based on the results of some statistics, we not only extract the variables that have the most decisive influence towards the winning, but rather provide the models for predicting the win probability of a hockey game. Furthermore, multiple class type labels are applied in the training data set, which increase the classification accuracy significantly compared with binary labels.

Win probability algorithm based on statistics of historical data has been proposed. The winning probability of the home team at the beginning of the game is taken to be 55% because the statistics show that the home team won 55% games in historical seasons.

Data mining model is a scientific approach for estimating the win probability of an ice hockey game. In this thesis, we compared some classification algorithms and found Random Tree to be the best algorithm for our model. Also, by using more appropriate definition for class types the classification accuracy improved further.

Although one game’s data does not have enough instances for stream mining, it is still possible to build the win probability model in a hockey game by using stream mining
technique. Combining the statistics algorithm and stream mining classification, accuracy could be increased. Hoeffding Tree learner has the best performance in our binary class type model. However, Random Tree works well in our multiple class type model.

7.2 Future Work

As a future work, it will be interesting to design more appropriate win probability calculation algorithms for case by case classification results. Since our attributes of data are limited, we do not have enough information of personality vectors in a hockey game. In this thesis, all the models are built based on the objective variables. In the future, some data of the players and coaches in a hockey team could be obtained and some personality variables added to make a more complex and improved win probability model in a hockey game.
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Appendix A

Original Data downloaded by ‘nhlscrapr’

The original file is so big that cannot display it here. NHL 2013-2014 original CSV data as an example can be downloaded from

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/50g290lq7kz3yu6/AADnq3wTI_Y2j5Mr-AWDQV4ia?dl=0

Following figure shows a small part of the original data:
Appendix B

WPS JAVA Program

```java
import java.io.File;
import java.io.IOException;
import jxl.Cell;
import jxl.CellType;
import jxl.Workbook;
import jxl.read.biff.BiffException;
import jxl.write.Label;
import jxl.write.WritableCell;
import jxl.write.WritableSheet;
import jxl.write.WritableWorkbook;
import jxl.write.WriteException;

public class Part5 {

    public static void main(String[] args) throws BiffException, IOException, WriteException {

        Workbook workbook = Workbook.getWorkbook(new File("C:/Users/dan/Desktop/stream mining1/part5.xls"));

        WritableWorkbook copy = Workbook.createWorkbook(new File("C:/Users/dan/Desktop/stream mining1/outputpart5.xls"),
        workbook);

        WritableSheet sheet = copy.getSheet(0);

        double wp= 0.5;
        String value =""
        int eventColNumA = 9;
        int eventColNumB = 2;
        int eventColNumC = 10;
        int eventColNumD = 11;
        int eventColNumE = 12;
        int eventColNumF = 13;
        int predictionColNum = 17;
        //Skip row 0 for header
        for (int row = 1; row < sheet.getRows(); row++) {
            Cell homeCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumA, row);
            Cell secondCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumB, row);
            Cell leadsizeCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumC, row);
```
Cell abshotCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumD, row);
Cell penaltyCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumE, row);
Cell missCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumF, row);
WritableCell predictionEventCell = sheet.getWritableCell(predictionColNum, row);

double a = Integer.valueOf(leadsizeCell.getContents()).doubleValue();
double c = Double.valueOf(abshotCell.getContents()).doubleValue();
int d = Integer.valueOf(penaltyCell.getContents()).intValue();
int e = Integer.valueOf(missCell.getContents()).intValue();
double b = Double.valueOf(secondCell.getContents()).doubleValue();

if(b<1200){
    a = a;
} else if(b>=1200&&b<2400){
    a = a*1.1;
} else if(b>=2400&&b<3000){
    a = a*1.2;
} else{
    a = a*1.5;
}

if(a<0.55){
    value="lose";
} else{
    value="win";
}

if (predictionEventCell.getType() == CellType.LABEL) {
    Label l = (Label) predictionEventCell;
    l.setString(value);
} else {
    sheet.addCell(new Label(predictionColNum, row, value));
}

copy.write();
copy.close();
workbook.close();
## Appendix C

**Snapshot of Attribute Values for the Event “Goal”**

in 3 Test Games

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gcode</th>
<th>period</th>
<th>seconds</th>
<th>etype</th>
<th>ev.team</th>
<th>hometeam</th>
<th>awayteam</th>
<th>home.score</th>
<th>away.score</th>
<th>h/v</th>
<th>leadsize</th>
<th>shot</th>
<th>penalty</th>
<th>miss</th>
<th>game result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2388</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3267</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20727</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3536</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>home win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20611</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1885</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>VAN</td>
<td>VAN</td>
<td>T.B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20611</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2127</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>T.B</td>
<td>VAN</td>
<td>T.B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20611</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2147</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>T.B</td>
<td>VAN</td>
<td>T.B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20611</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2161</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>VAN</td>
<td>VAN</td>
<td>T.B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20611</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2397</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>T.B</td>
<td>VAN</td>
<td>T.B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20611</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2848</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>T.B</td>
<td>VAN</td>
<td>T.B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>home lose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20757</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>DET</td>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20757</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>DET</td>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20757</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>DET</td>
<td>DET</td>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20757</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>DET</td>
<td>DET</td>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20757</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1503</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>DET</td>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20757</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>DET</td>
<td>DET</td>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20757</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1874</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>DET</td>
<td>DET</td>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20757</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2712</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>DET</td>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>home</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>tie in 3 periods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D

Excel File of WPS Result

Following example is part result of the game 727, TOR vs MTL. Since the whole Excel file is so big that cannot display it here, you can download it from

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/50g290lq7kz3yu6/AADnq3wTl_Y2j5Mr-AWDQV4ia?dl=0
Appendix E

WPWL Decision Rules by Random Tree

The original output of the decision tree is too large cannot to show here. You can
download it from:

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/50g290lq7kz3yu6/AADnq3wTl_Y2j5Mr-AWDQV4ia?dl=0

Also you can get the decision rules trained by Hoeffding Tree from the same link.
import java.io.File;
import java.io.IOException;
import jxl.Cell;
import jxl.CellType;
import jxl.Workbook;
import jxl.read.biff.BiffException;
import jxl.write.Label;
import jxl.write.WritableCell;
import jxl.write.WritableSheet;
import jxl.write.WritableWorkbook;
import jxl.write.WriteException;

public class WPWL {
    
    public static void main(String[] args) throws BiffException, IOException, WriteException {
        Workbook workbook = Workbook.getWorkbook(new File("C:/Users/dan/Desktop/stream mining/torvsmtl.xls"));
        
        WritableWorkbook copy = Workbook.createWorkbook(new File("C:/Users/dan/Desktop/stream mining/outputtorvsmtl.xls"), workbook);
        
        WritableSheet sheet = copy.getSheet(0);
        
        double wp= 0.5;
        String value = "";
        int eventColNumA = 9;
        int eventColNumB = 2;
        int eventColNumC = 10;
        int eventColNumD = 11;
        int eventColNumE = 12;
        int eventColNumF = 13;
        int predictionColNum = 17;
        
        for (int row = 1; row < sheet.getRows(); row++) {
            Cell homeCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumA, row);
            Cell secondCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumB, row);
        }
    }
}

Appendix F

WPWL JAVA Program
Cell leadsize = sheet.getCell(eventColNumC, row);
Cell abshotCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumD, row);
Cell penaltyCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumE, row);
Cell missCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumF, row);
WritableCell predictionEventCell = sheet.getWritableCell(predictionColNum, row);

double a = Integer.valueOf(leadsize.getCell().getContents()).doubleValue();
double c = Double.valueOf(abshotCell.getCell().getContents()).doubleValue();
int d = Integer.valueOf(penaltyCell.getCell().getContents()).intValue();
int e = Integer.valueOf(missCell.getCell().getContents()).intValue();
double b = Double.valueOf(secondCell.getCell().getContents()).doubleValue();
if(b<1200){
    a = a;
} else if(b>=1200&&b<2400){
    a = a*1.1;
} else if(b>=2400&&b<3000){
    a = a*1.2;
} else{
    a = a*1.5;
}

if(a<=0.955){
    if(a<=-1.091){
        value="lose";
    } else if(e<=-8.909){
        value="lose";
    } else if (a<=-1.809) {
        if (a<=2.218) {
            value="lose";
        } else {
            value="lose";
        }
    } else if(a<=-1.318){
        value="lose";
    } else if(c<=0.273){
        if(c<=-19.091){
            value="win";
        } else {
            value="lose";
        }
    } else {
        value="lose";
    }
} else if(d<=-4.273){
    value="win";
} else if(d<=-3.364){
    value="win";
} else if(e<=-9.909){
    value="lose";
} else if(a<=-0.455){
    if(d<=-2.455){
        value="win";
    } else if(d<=-3.455){
        value="win";
    } else if(e<=-10.909){
        value="lose";
    } else if(a<=-1.809){
        if(a<=2.218){
            value="lose";
        } else {
            value="lose";
        }
    } else if(a<=-1.318){
        value="lose";
    } else if(c<=-0.273){
        if(c<=-19.091){
            value="win";
        } else {
            value="lose";
        }
    } else {
        value="lose";
    }
} else if(d<=-4.273){
    value="win";
} else if(d<=-3.364){
    value="win";
} else if(e<=-9.909){
    value="lose";
} else if(a<=-0.455){
    if(d<=-2.455){
        value="win";
    } else if(d<=-3.455){
        value="win";
    } else if(e<=-10.909){
        value="lose";
    } else if(a<=-1.809){
        if(a<=2.218){
            value="lose";
        } else {
            value="lose";
        }
    } else if(a<=-1.318){
        value="lose";
    } else if(c<=-0.273){
        if(c<=-19.091){
            value="win";
        } else {
            value="lose";
        }
    } else {
        value="lose";
    }

else if(e<=1.273){
  if(e<=-6.273){
    value="win";;
  } else if(e<=-5.364){
    value="win";;
  } else{
    value="lose";;
  }
}
else if(c<=-18.636){
  value="win";;
} else if(c<=7.091){
  if(d<=1.455){
    if(e<=2.364){
      if(e<=3){
        value="lose";;
      } else if(c<=-11.182){
        value="win";;
      } else if(c<=5.364){
        if(d<=-2.636){
          value="win";;
        } else if(c<=9.545){
          value="lose";;
        } else if(c<=3.727){
          if(d<=-0.636){
            value="lose";;
          } else{
            value="win";;
          }
        } else{
          value="lose";;
        }
      } else{
        value="lose";;
      }
    } else{
      value="lose";;
    }
  } else if(d<=-2.636){
    value="lose";;
  } else if(c<=-11.128){
    value="lose";;
  } else{
    value="win";;
  }
else{
  value="win";;
}
else if(e<=1.182){
  value="lose";;
} else{
  value="lose";;
}
else if(a<=2.182){
  if(a<=1.455){
    if(d<=-0.545){
      if(d<=-2.364){
        value="win";;
      } else if(e<=-9.364){
        value="lose";;
      }
    } else{
      value="lose";;
    }
  } else{
    value="lose";;
  }
}
value = "lose";
else {
    value = "win";
}
else if (c <= -21) {
    value = "lose";
} else if (d <= 1.455) {
    if (e <= -15.909) {
        value = "lose";
    } else {
        value = "win";
    }
} else {
    value = "win";
}
else {
    value = "win";
}
else if (a <= 2.955) {
    if (d <= -4.273) {
        value = "win";
    } else if (a <= 2.327) {
        if (c <= -19.909) {
            value = "lose";
        } else {
            value = "win";
        }
    } else {
        value = "win";
    }
} else {
    value = "win";
}
if (predictionEventCell.getType() == CellType.LABEL) {
    Label l = (Label) predictionEventCell;
    l.setString(value);
} else {
    sheet.addCell(new Label(predictionColNum, row, value));
}
}

copy.write();
copy.close();
workbook.close();

// commend in CMD
javac -classpath jxl.jar WPWL.java
java -classpath jxl.jar:. WPWL
Appendix G

Decision Trees and Results of Stream Mining using Random Tree

The following figures show the results of applying Random Tree in Stream Mining to the data for game 611 in weka 3.7. The decision trees and accuracy of Random Tree is shown for each window (window 1 – 5).

![Classifier output](image)
Classifier output

shot < -0.5
| shot < -1.5 : V (5/0)
| shot >= -1.5
| | miss < 2
| | | miss < 0.5 : V (2/0)
| | | miss >= 0.5 : O (1/0)
| | miss >= 2 : V (3/0)
shot >= -0.5
| miss < 2.5 : E (5/0)
| miss >= 2.5 : V (4/0)

Size of the tree : 11

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Stratified cross-validation ===
=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances 16 80 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances 4 20 %
Kappa statistic 0.5897
Mean absolute error 0.1333
Root mean squared error 0.3651
Classifier output

======

leaddsize < 0.5 : V (15/0)
leaddsize >= 0.5 : E (5/0)

Size of the tree : 3

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Stratified cross-validation ===
=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances 20 100 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances 0 0 %
Kappa statistic 1
Mean absolute error 0
Root mean squared error 0
Relative absolute error 0 %
Root relative squared error 0 %
Coverage of cases (0.95 level) 100 %
Mean rel. region size (0.95 level) 50 %
Total Number of Instances 20
Classifier output

miss < 13.5
  | leadsize < -0.5 : W (2/0)
  | leadsize >= -0.5
  |   | leadsize < 0.5 : V (9/0)
  |   | leadsize >= 0.5 : E (1/0)
miss >= 13.5 : W (8/0)

Size of the tree : 7

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Stratified cross-validation ===

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances  19  95 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances  1  5 %
Kappa statistic  0.9048
Mean absolute error  0.0333
Root mean squared error  0.1826
Relative absolute error  8.8235 %
Root relative squared error  42.3918 %
Coverage of cases (0.95 level)  95 %
Following is an example of a java program for one window:

import java.io.File;
import java.io.IOException;

import jxl.Cell;
import jxl.CellType;
import jxl.Workbook;
import jxl.read.biff.BiffException;
import jxl.write.Label;
import jxl.write.WritableCell;
import jxl.write.WritableSheet;
import jxl.write.WritableWorkbook;
import jxl.write.WriteException;

public class Part2 {
    public static void main(String[] args) throws BiffException, IOException, WriteException {
        
    }
}
Workbook workbook = Workbook.getWorkbook(new File("C:/Users/dan/Desktop/stream mining1/part2.xls"));

WritableWorkbook copy = Workbook.createWorkbook(new File("C:/Users/dan/Desktop/stream mining1/outputpart2.xls"), workbook);

WritableSheet sheet = copy.getSheet(0);

//Skip row 0 for header

double wp = 0.5;
String value = "";
int eventColNumA = 9;
int eventColNumB = 2;
int eventColNumC = 10;
int eventColNumD = 11;
int eventColNumE = 12;
int eventColNumF = 13;
int predictionColNum = 17;

for (int row = 1; row < sheet.getRows(); row++) {
    Cell homeCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumA, row);
    Cell secondCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumB, row);
    Cell leadsizeCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumC, row);
    Cell abshotCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumD, row);
    Cell penaltyCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumE, row);
    Cell missCell = sheet.getCell(eventColNumF, row);
    WritableCell predictionEventCell = sheet.getWritableCell(predictionColNum, row);

    double a = Integer.valueOf(leadsizeCell.getContents()).doubleValue();
    double c = Double.valueOf(abshotCell.getContents()).doubleValue();
    int d = Integer.valueOf(penaltyCell.getContents()).intValue();
    int e = Integer.valueOf(missCell.getContents()).intValue();
    double b = Double.valueOf(secondCell.getContents()).doubleValue();

    if (c < 0.5) {
        if (c < 1.5) {
            value = "V";
        } else if (e < 2) {
            if (e < 0.5) {
                value = "V"
            } else {
                value = "O"
            }
        }
    } else if (e < 2.5) {
        value = "E";
    } else {
        value = "";
    }

}
if (predictionEventCell.getType() == CellType.LABEL) {
    Label l = (Label) predictionEventCell;
    l.setString(value);
} else {
    sheet.addCell(new Label(predictionColNum, row, value));
}

copy.write();
copy.close();
workbook.close();